Solar Keymark	Report No.:	Report date 2012-	Page 1 (11)
Factory		2012-	1 (11)
INSPECTION REPORT			

Issued to:	Company name which the report is issued		
	Contact Person		

Email Tel.: Address

Postal Code Town

Country

SOLAR KEYMARK FACTORY INSPECTION REPORT

Annex A1 of the Specific CEN Keymark Scheme Rules for Solar Thermal Products

0. General

u. Gei	iciai		
0.1	Date of inspection	yyyy-mm-dd	
0.2	Type of inspection	☐ Follow-up	
		☐ Initial (pre	-licence) Sample selection
0.3	Report No. and date of last inspection	Nnn, yyyy-m	m-dd
0.4a	Holder of the certificate/s	Name of man	ufacturer
	(fill in company name and full address or make reference to "Issued to:" above)	Street	
	,	Postal code T	own
Country			
0.5a	Certificate No., Test report No., Date of test report		Product name
	Cert No: XX, Test report No XX, yyyy-mm	-dd	Solaris xy
	Cert No: XX, Test report No XX, yyyy-mm	-dd	
	Cert No: XX, Test report No XX, yyyy-mm	-dd	
0.4b	Holder of the certificate/s (OEM/OBL)	Name of man	ufacturer
		Street	
		Postal code T	ōwn
		Country	
0.5b	Certificate No., Test report No., Date of te	est report	Product name
	Cert No: XX, Test report No XX, yyyy-mm	-dd	Solaris xy
	Cert No: XX, Test report No XX, yyyy-mm	-dd	
	Cert No: XX, Test report No XX, yyyy-mm	-dd	
0.6	Manufacturer's registered name and	Name of man	ufacturer
	factory location	Street	
		Postal code T	ōwn
		Country	
0.7	Names and positions of persons seen in the factory	Name, Surna	me, Position
0.8	Number of non-conformities (also see 13)	Xx	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

	ar Keymark	Report No.:	2012-		Page 2 (11)
	tory PECTION REPO	DT			
IIVO	PECTION REPO	KI			
1. Qu	ality system				
				yes	no
1.1	that includes the produ Certification number a	nd expire date must be documented he	ere.		
Corti	A copy of the current of fication No.	certificate shall be attached to this repor	t.		
	of expiry:				
Rem	arks:				
2 Dr	oduction during visit				
2.110	duction during visit			yes	no
2.1	certification, in produc If "Yes", identify produ them. If "No", make su	luded in the certification or aimed for tion at the time of the visit? Inct name and any CertNo. that appear are and confirm that similar products we			
	manufactured at the ti	me of the visit.			
	ucts in production:				
Rem	arks:				
3. Inc	oming goods		yes	partially	no
3.1		r have an up to date part list/bill of	1 / _	 	П
	inspection?	e product(s) subject to the factory			
List	of assessed documents				
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
			yes	partially	no
3.2	all these materials, conservices relevant to prinspection?	r have documented specifications for mponents, sub-assemblies and oducts subject to the factory se must be assessed and filed by the			
List	of assessed documents		•	•	
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
			yes	partially	no
3.3		r ensure that the purchased products services are in conformity with the s?			
List	of assessed documents		<u>t</u>	1	
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
<u> </u>		1			

SKN_	_N0132R1
	Page

Report date

Sola	ır Keymark	Report No.:	Report date		Page	
Factory			2012-	;	3 (11)	
	ory PECTION REPOI	RT				
			yes	partially	no	
3.4	materials, components products which are four	procedure covering the way to handle subassemblies, services and end and to deviate from the specification to conformity with the product is				
List o	f assessed documents:		l			
Rema	arks:					
Non-	conformities:					
			yes	partially	no	
3.5	Are non-conforming pro segregated to prevent	oducts clearly identified and/or any unauthorised use?				
List o	f assessed documents:					
Rema	arks:					
Non-	conformities:					
4. Pro 4.1		procedure describing the ts performed during the whole	yes	partially	no	
List o	f assessed documents:					
Rema	arks:					
Non-	conformities:					
			yes	partially	no	
4.2	Are the responsibilities	for the tests conducted during	yes	Partially		
1.2		e decision for the product release			Ш	
List o	f assessed documents:					
Rema	arks:				<u> </u>	
Non-	conformities:					
4.3 Does the staff have ready available up-to-date documents, like as procedures, quality plans, inspection and test instructions, photographs, drawings or samples on all those parts that have an impact on the conformity of the finished products?		yes	partially	no		
List o	f assessed documents:		•	•		
Rema	arks:					
Non-	conformities:					

Report No.:

Place for inspection body, name, adress, tel etc or empty

SKI	J I	N	N1	32	R1

	ar Keymark		2012 -		4 (11)
	tory PECTION REPOF	OT.			
IIVO	PECTION REPOR	X I			
			yes	partially	no
4.4	Are there appropriate redone during the produc	ecords about all the checks and tests tion available?			
List o	of assessed documents:		I	l l	
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
			yes	partially	no
4.5	non-conforming produc	procedure describing how to handle ts and are they clearly identified event any unauthorised use?			
List o	of assessed documents:		- 1	<u>'</u>	
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformity:				
			yes	partially	no
4.6	Are trends of test result production and manage	s monitored and reported to the ement authorities?			
List o	of assessed documents:			I	
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformity:				
E Cal	libration/check of meas	uring aguinment			
J. Cai	ibration/check of meas	uring equipment	yes	partially	no
5.1		procedure describing how to handle including the responsibilities related?			
List o	of assessed documents:		•	•	-
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
•					
			yes	partially	no
5.2	Is a list with all equipment used for measurements available?				
List o	of assessed documents:			.	•
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				

Sola	ar Keymark	Report No.:	Report date		Page	
	tory		2012-	5	5 (11)	
	PECTION REP	ORT				
	T			1	<u> </u>	
5.3	In the relevant man	equipment calibrated/abacked ar	yes	partially	no	
3.3	is the relevant mea	asuring equipment calibrated/checked ar marked with ID?				
List o	of assessed document	s:	<u> </u>	-1		
Rem	arks:					
Non-	conformities:					
			yes	partially	no	
5.4	Is the equipment pro indicating the next ca					
List	of assessed document	s:	<u> </u>			
Rem	arks:					
Non-	Non-conformities:					
			yes	partially	no	
5.5	5.5 Do the calibration/check records indicate that calibration/check is traceable to national or international standards?					
List	of assessed document	s:				
Rem	arks:					
Non-	conformities:					
C C-	utual of usuadilation a					
6. CO	ntrol of production e	quipment	yes	partially	no	
6.1		ed procedure describing how to handle the				
	production equipmer	nt?]	
List o	of assessed document	S:				
Rem	arks:					
Non-	conformities:					
					'	
			yes	partially	no	
6.2 Is the relevant production equipment checked on a regular basis, such that in case of detection of a failure the previous production can be traced?						
List o	of assessed document	s:				
Rem	arks:					
Non-	conformities:					
Corre	ective action:					

S	K	N	Ν	O1	13	21	R1

Solar Keymark		Report No.: Report d			
	_		2012-	6	5 (11)
FactinSI	PECTION REPO	RT			
			yes	partially	no
6.3		function checks of the production (Is the equipment provided with a labeling the next check?)			
List c	of assessed documents			<u> </u>	
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
7. Pre	eservation of product		ı	1 I	
7.4		lance de la caribia a bassita bassita a	yes	partially	no
7.1	store the final product	I procedure describing how to handle a ?	na 📗		
List c	of assessed documents		·		
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
			yes	partially	no
7.2	After final inspection a stocked in such a way affected?	nd test, are the products handled and that compliance with the standards is r	not		
List c	of assessed documents				
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
8. Co	mplaints		yes	partially	no
8.1	Is there a documented complaints?	I procedure describing how to deal with			
List c	f assessed documents		 	II.	
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
			yes	partially	no
8.2	Are complaints concer	ning the certified products recorded?			
List c	of assessed documents		I	1	
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				

Place for inspection body, name, adress, tel etc or empty

Fac			Report date 2012-	Page 7 (11)	
8.3	Are complaints evaluate complaints are relevant	ted and corrective actions taken if the t?			
List	of assessed documents:				
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
9. Sto	orage of Records		yes	partially	no
9.1	Is there a documented records?	procedure describing how to handle			
List	of assessed documents:				
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
0.0	A 41		yes	partially	no
9.2	Are the records of the incoming goods inspection kept for at least 3 years?				
List	of assessed documents:		1	<u>'</u>	
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
			yes	partially	no
9.3	Are the test records of years?	the routine tests kept for at least 3			
List	of assessed documents:				
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
		1			
			yes	partially	no
9.4	Are the records of non at least 3 years?	-conformities and their evaluation kept	for		
List	of assessed documents:			<u>.L</u>	
Rem	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
		•			
9.5	Are the records of cali equipment kept for at	bration/check of the measuring east 3 years?	yes	partially	no
List	l of assessed documents:				
	arks:				
	conformities:				

Report date

Sola	r Keymark		eport date		age
_		2	012-	8 (11)	
Factory					
INDI	PECTION REPOR	₹1			
	T		1/00	porticily	
9.6	Are the records of func	tioning checks of production equipment	yes	partially	no
0.0	kept for at least 3 years				
	,				
List o	f assessed documents:				
Rema	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
			yes	partially	no
9.7	Are the records of cust	omer complaints and the corresponding			
	corrective actions kept				
	f assessed documents:				
Rema					
Non-	conformities:				
			yes	partially	no
9.8	Are the records of inter	nal audits kept for at least 3 years?			
1		T			
	f assessed documents:				
Rema	arks:				
Non-	conformities:				
			yes	partially	no
9.9	Are the records of corre	ective / preventive actions kept for at	ĺ	\top \Box \Box	
	least 3 years?				
Licto	l f assessed documents:				
Rema					
Non-	conformities:				
10 Cc	orrective actions				
10. 00	Trective actions		yes	partially	No
10.1	If there were any unsa	tisfactory findings entered in the previou			
	inspection report, have	they been corrected adequately?			Ш
	If initial inspection - no	t applicable.			
Listo	f assessed documents:	T			
Rema	arks:				
11 C	nanges to Certified Pro	duct			
11.01	langes to Certified 1 10	duct	yes	partially	no
11.1	Is there a documented	d procedure describing how to deal with			
	changes on certified p				
Liet	f	I			
	f assessed documents:				
Rema					
Non-conformities:					

Report No.:

Place for inspection body, name, adress, tel etc or empty

SKN_N0132R1

Sola Facto	r Keymark	Report No.:	Report date 2012-	Page 9 (11)
	PECTION REPO	ORT		
				T
11.2	Is there a decumen	ted procedure that ensures the report of	yes	partially no
11.2	changes to the cert			
List of assessed documents:		s:	<u> </u>	
Rema	rks:			
Non-c	onformities:			
44.0	I loo the contitied on		yes	no
11.3	assessment?	oduct been changed since the last		
	If yes, list the change	des performed.		
	If initial inspection -			
List of	assessed document	s:		
Remarks:				
Non-conformities:				
44.4	1387 (1 1		yes	partially no
11.4		reported to the certification body for		
	approval? If initial inspection -	not applicable		
	ii iiiitai iiispectioii -	пот аррисавие.		
List of assessed documents:		s:		
Rema				
Non-c	onformities:			
12 No	n-conformities			
_		I indicate the corrective actions the man	ufacturer need	ds to take
1	x.x - Paragraph:		<u></u>	20 10 10.101
ı	corrective action:			
2	x.x – Paragraph:			
	corrective action:			
3	x.x – Paragraph: corrective action:			
	x.x – Paragraph:			
4	corrective action:.			

For non-conformities nn, supplementary documentation shall be presented to the inspection/certification body before 2010-xx-xx // before a Keymark certificate can be issued.

For non-conformities nn, supplementary documentation shall be available at the next factory inspection.

SKN_N0132R1

Solar Keymark Rep		Report No.:	Report date Page 2012- 10 (11)
Factory		•••	2012- 10 (11)
INS	PECTION REPORT		
13. R	ecommendation		
	Degree of criticism		Required action
1.	☐ No criticisms		
2.	Limited number of cr	riticisms	Manufacturer shall confirm the implementation of the corrective actions to the inspector, certification proceeds.
			From the presented documentation it will be decided if an extra inspection will be needed.
3.	Criticism(s) to the ex conformity with the s endangered		Factory inspection must be repeated after manufacturer has confirmed the implementation of the corrective actions.
	eneral and Other Remarks elevant remarks not include		us questions should be given.
2			
3			
4			
repre factor	sentative accepts by signat	ure the non-cor	inspector and the factory representative. The factory informities. One signed copy of the report stays with the total the inspector who sends it to the certification body
Date:			
Name	e of inspector	1	Name of factory representative
Nam	 e		Name

Place for inspection body, name, adress, tel etc or empty

SKN_N0132R1

Solar Keymark	Report No.:	Report date	Page
Factory		2012-	11 (11)
INSPECTION REPORT			

Annex: Identification of selected samples

Manufacturer					
Company name					
Adresse Address					
Selected for test laboratory:					
Serien No.	Product / type / technical data	Ref.No.	Selected from		Production Period
			Production	Stock	
		date/signature:			

Place for inspection body, name, adress, tel etc or empty

•