

# SCF Call for Project Proposals - 9<sup>th</sup> SCF Call:

**Deadline 20<sup>th</sup> December 2017 15:00 CET**

---

## Introduction

The 9<sup>th</sup> SCF call asks for project proposals on a number of priority issues given in this call text – see next page. If a proposal relates to more than one priority issue this could be beneficial.

Furthermore, other projects proposals related to the support of certification, harmonisation and standardisation as well as promotion and quality assurance of solar thermal technology can be handed in.

Total available budget for this call is approx. 120 k€. The indicative budgets given in the table next page reflects the priorities given by the Solar Keymark Network during its 23<sup>rd</sup> meeting on October 17<sup>th</sup> –18<sup>th</sup>, 2017 in advance) – the final budget distribution will depend on the relevance and quality of the proposals handed in.

## Where and how to deliver proposal

Proposal shall be e-mailed to:

- [scf-call@estif.org](mailto:scf-call@estif.org)

Please use the template given in latest version of document SCF\_N0016 for applications. The template is available for download from the ESTIF and Solar Keymark websites (file [SCF\\_N0016R7](#)).

Please notice:

- *Fill in template - max. 4 pages.*
- *The complete proposal (including potential) annexes shall be submitted as ONE PDF file*
- ***The title of the e-mail** shall start with: "SCF-proposal:" followed by the subject number and the acronym of proposal. Example: "SCF-proposal: 1 SCF9-SOLARKEYMARK".*

## Deadline

**Deadline for handing in proposals by e-mail is 20<sup>th</sup> December 2017, 15:00 CET (Brussels time).**

## Evaluation of proposals

The proposals will be evaluated by members of the Solar Certification Fund Steering Group. Rating of proposals will be performed according to Annex A "Rating procedure".

## Priority subjects

No	Title of priority issue	Indicative budget k€	Content/Examples of outcomes
1	Elaboration of Proposal for revision of Annex J of the SKN scheme rules <b>Acronym:</b> <b>SCF9-AnnexJ</b>	5	Activity is related to PVT collectors
2	Follow-up feasibility 'Broadening the scope' <b>Acronym:</b> <b>SCF9-SK-Scope-fup</b>	10	Revision of scheme rules Custom built systems, requirements for companies issuing declaration, outline (draft) certification scheme for calculation tool. Follow-up project.
3	DST testing with SolTherm model <b>Acronym:</b> <b>SCF9-DST-SolTherm</b>	12	Selection of relevant parameter set, adoption of SolTherm for DST datasets, link to parameter identification software, validation with existing datasets.
4	Activities related to the implementation of the SK marketing plan <b>Acronym:</b> <b>SCF9-SK-Marketing</b>	5	Activities related to the implementation of the SK marketing plan
5	Establishment of software management group <b>Acronym:</b> <b>SCF9-SK-SoftwareGroup</b>	7	Define the tasks, working procedures and recruiting of members. Start with the management for ScenoCalc and SolTherm and be prepared to add future software tools linked to Solar Keymark. Revise when appropriate.
6	Desk study: state of the art methods for quality and durability <b>Acronym:</b> <b>SCF9-QualityDurability</b>	4	Aim at recent developments that can bring quality and durability issues such as expected product life time, drinking water quality, construction issues, emission issues, energy costs issues and life cycle assessment issues to the level of a Solar Keymark certification scheme.
7	Online tool package <b>Acronym:</b> <b>SCF9-OnlineToolPackage</b>	10	Assessment of options for using data from the EC Energy Efficient products database in order to facilitate the calculation using the package label tool from Labelpack A+. Preparing a proposal for discussion within SKN regarding the continuation of the package label online tool.
8	Finalizing and implementing the Solar Keymark On-line Database <b>Acronym:</b> <b>SCF9-Database-fup</b>	5	Finalizing and implementing the Solar Keymark On-line Database. Follow-up project.
9	Harmonization of SHAMCI and Solar Keymark	5	Harmonization of the testing, inspection and certification procedures of SHAMCI and Solar Keymark

No	Title of priority issue	Indicative budget k€	Content/Examples of outcomes
	<b>Acronym:</b> <b>SCF9-SHAMCI</b>		See links to related documents N0353 & N0354
10	Support of Convenors and secretariat of TC 312 <b>Acronym:</b> <b>SCF9-TC312</b>	(max 10 each)	Support (= co-financing) of conveners and secretariat of TC 312
11	Support to Liaison CEN/TC312 officers <b>Acronym:</b> <b>SCF9-LiasTCxxx</b>	(2-4 per officer)	Monitoring developments at CEN TC's, inform those TC's about developments in TC312 and report back to TC312. Budget will depend on activity level.
12	Other good ideas <b>Acronym: SCF9-Other</b>		Other good ideas

## Annex A “Rating procedure”

The evaluators will rate each of the proposals according to a set of pre-given criteria. The rating leads to an average “score” of the proposals, which is the input for the initial ranking which will be discussed during the “ranking meeting” by the evaluators. The rating will be on a scale of 1-10 per criterion. In order to be eligible for funding an average total score (all evaluators) of minimum 6 has to be reached. Furthermore, a minimum score of 6 has to be reached for each criteria. Eligible proposals will be ranked based on their rating and taking into account the overall budget allocated for this SCF call.

The following criteria will apply:

- **Effectiveness:** How adequately does the proposal provide a solution / result in line with the topic in the call.
- **Quality:** How does the evaluator rate the quality of the proposal? Are the objectives, expected outcomes and steps well identified and clearly explained? Does the proposal consider adequate dissemination of main results after the end of the project?
- **Contribution:** Does the proposal clearly addresses the topics mentioned in the call? Does it contribute towards the improvement of the solar thermal sector, (eg. providing input for lobby work, showing new opportunities for the ST sector, create/promote a level playing field, reducing trade barriers or other).
- **Price- performance:** Are the proposed cost in the proposal in balance with the expected output of the project. In case the proposer offers to finance some of the project cost by other means, this should have a positive effect on the rating.
- **Competence and experience of the proposer:** Based on the CV, the company/ proposer’s profile and other sources such as e.g. previous experiences and projects carried out by the proposer the potential and capability of the proposer to carry out activities described in his proposal are assessed.

The applications are rated using the evaluation form below (to be submitted by each evaluator for each proposal).

SCF Evaluation form:

Call subject:	<i>(filled in by the secretariat)</i>		Proposal:	<i>(filled in by the secretariat)</i>	
Proposer:	<i>(filled in by the secretariat)</i>		Amount requested:	<i>(filled in by the secretariat)</i>	
Evaluator:	<i>(filled in by the secretariat)</i>		Other contribution:	<i>(filled in by the secretariat)</i>	
The proposal qualifies:			Yes/no <i>(to be indicated by the evaluator).</i>		
If “no”- please give reason:			<i>(to be indicated by the evaluator).</i>		
Criteria (A)	Weight (B)	Rating scale 1-10 (C)	Weighted Rating (D)	Remarks	
<b>Effectiveness</b>	20 %	<i>(to be filled in by the evaluator).</i>	(B)x(C)	<i>(to be filled in by the evaluator).</i>	
<b>Quality</b>	20 %	<i>(to be filled in by the evaluator).</i>	(B)x(C)		
<b>Contribution</b>	20 %	<i>(to be filled in by the evaluator).</i>	(B)x(C)		
<b>Price-Performance</b>	25 %	<i>(to be filled in by the evaluator).</i>	(B)x(C)		
<b>Competence and experience</b>	15 %	<i>(to be filled in by the evaluator).</i>	(B)x(C)		
<b>TOTAL SCORE:</b>			$\Sigma$ (D)		